

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/050,088	01/14/2002	Robert C. Getts	4081.010	6251
7:	590 10/17/2003		EXAMINER	
Morris E. Cohen, Esq. Law Office of Morris E. Cohen, Esq.			CHAKRABARTI, ARUN K	
	and Avenue, Suite 217		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Brooklyn, NY 11230-2345			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/050,088 Applicant(s)

Getts

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	s on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). I mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status				
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 22,	2003 .			
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action	ction is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4) X Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-24	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)	is/are allowed.			
6) X Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-24	is/are rejected.			
7)	is/are objected to.			
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed onis/ar	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents ha				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a l	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	-			
a) The translation of the foreign language provision				
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) X Other: Detailed Action			

Application/Control Number: 10/050,088 Page 2

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Current status of the Application

1. Applicant's amendment received on September 23, 2003 has been entered. Claims 1 and 2 have been amended. Claim 12 has been canceled without prejudice towards further prosecution.

Claims 1-11 and 13-24 are currently pending in this application.

Specification

Claim 1 has been objected to because the word "said" has been repeated twice in section(b) of the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-3, 6-11, 16, 18, 19, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Hellyer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,207,818 B1) (March 27, 2001) in view of Weisburg et al. (U.S. Patent 6,280,952 B1) (August 28, 2001).

Hellyer et al teach a method for determining the presence of at least one specific nucleotide sequence in a target nucleic acid reagent extracted from a biological sample (abstract), the method comprising the steps of:

- a) contacting a microarray with:
- (I) a target nucleic acid reagent, the target nucleic acid reagent having a nucleotide sequence, the nucleotide sequence further including a capture sequence (Column 16, lines 1-40, and Example 5);
- (ii) a capture reagent, the capture reagent having at least one first arm having a label capable of emitting a detectable signal and at least one second arm having a nucleotide sequence complementary to the capture sequence of the target nucleic acid reagent (Example 5); the microarray having thereon a plurality of features, each of the plurality of features including a probe nucleotide sequence; and
- b) treating the microarray from step a) at a temperature and for a time sufficient to induce the nucleotide sequence of the target nucleic acid to hybridize to the probe nucleotide sequence complementary thereto on the microarray, and to induce the capture reagent to hybridize to the

Application/Control Number: 10/050,088

Art Unit: 1634

capture sequence of the nucleotide sequence of the target nucleic acid hybridized to the microarray (Example 5, and Column 13, line 63 to column 14, line 9).

Hellyer et al teach a method, wherein the presence of the latter hybridization results in the emission of the detectable signal from the corresponding feature, and in the absence thereof results in no emission of the detectable signal from the corresponding feature, thus generating a detectable hybridization pattern for subsequent analysis (Column 16, lines 24-40, and Example 5).

Hellyer et al teach a method, wherein the microarray is incubated at a first temperature for a first period of time and thereafter at a lower second temperature for a second period of time which may be different than the first period of time that are suitable for hybridization of the target nucleic acid reagent to the capture reagent (Example 5).

Hellyer et al teach a method, further comprising the step of utilizing a spin column to prepare the target nucleic acid reagent prior to step (a) ((Example 5, column 20, lines 28-30).

Hellyer et al do not teach the method of carrying out the hybridization condition at multiple temperatures, wherein the treatment comprises application of one temperature for a time sufficient to induce the target nucleic acid to hybridize to the probe nucleotide sequence and comprises application of a second temperature for a time sufficient to induce the capture reagent to hybridize to the capture sequence, the hybridization being induced in any order and wherein first temperature ranges from 65 degree centigrade to 75 degree centigrade or about 32 degree centigrade and the second temperature ranges from 50 degree centigrade to 55 degree centigrade and the first period of time is overnight and the second period of time is 4 to 6 hours.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,088

Art Unit: 1634

Weisburg et al. teach the method of carrying out the hybridization condition at multiple temperatures, wherein the treatment comprises application of one temperature for a time sufficient to induce the target nucleic acid to hybridize to the probe nucleotide sequence and comprises application of a second temperature for a time sufficient to induce the capture reagent to hybridize to the capture sequence, the hybridization being induced in any order and wherein first temperature ranges from 65 degree centigrade to 75 degree centigrade or about 32 degree centigrade and the second temperature ranges from 50 degree centigrade to 55 degree centigrade and the first period of time is overnight and the second period of time is 4 to 6 hours. (Abstract and Examples 3 and 4).

It would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine and substitute the method of carrying out the hybridization condition at multiple temperatures, wherein the treatment comprises application of one temperature for a time sufficient to induce the target nucleic acid to hybridize to the probe nucleotide sequence and comprises application of a second temperature for a time sufficient to induce the capture reagent to hybridize to the capture sequence of Weisburg et al in the method of Hellyer et al, since Weisburg et al. state, "These methods are particularly useful as part of a diagnostic assay in which the target polynucleotide is amplified to produce larger amounts of amplified nucleic acids which are free in solution (Column 9, lines 58-61)." An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to combine and substitute the method of carrying out the hybridization condition at multiple temperatures, wherein the treatment comprises application of

one temperature for a time sufficient to induce the target nucleic acid to hybridize to the probe nucleotide sequence and comprises application of a second temperature for a time sufficient to induce the capture reagent to hybridize to the capture sequence of Weisburg et al in the method of Hellyer et al, in order to improve the process for determining the presence of at least one specific nucleotide sequence in a target nucleic acid and also in order to achieve the express advantages, as noted by Weisburg et al., of an invention which is particularly useful as part of a diagnostic assay in which the target polynucleotide is amplified to produce larger amounts of amplified nucleic acids which are free in solution.

Although Weisburg et al and Hellyer et al may not have taught of concurrently contacting the microarray containing the probes with the target and the capture probe, this rejection is based on the fact that the order of adding ingredients is *prima facie* obvious as MPEP 2144.04 states, "

In re Gibson, 39 F.2d 975, 5 USPQ 230 (CCPA 1930) Selection of any order of mixing ingredients is *prima facie* obvious".

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Hellyer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,207,818 B1) (March 27, 2001) in view of Weisburg et al. (U.S. Patent 6,280,952 B1) (August 28, 2001) further in view of Kayyem et al. (U.S. Patent 6,290,839 B1)(September 18, 2001).

Hellyer et al in view of Weisburg et al. teach the method of claims 1-3, 6-11, 16, 18, 19, 23, and 24 as described above.

Hellyer et al in view of Weisburg et al. do not teach the method wherein the capture reagent is a dendrimer.

Kayyem et al teach the method wherein the capture reagent is a dendrimer (Column 52, lines 27-43, and column 59, lines 18-42).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine and substitute the method wherein the capture reagent is a dendrimer of Kayyem et al in the method of Hellyer et al in view of Weisburg et al., since Kayyem et al. state, "Adding extra linking sequences between the probe nucleic acid and the ETMs can result in the ETMs being spatially closer to the surface, giving better results (Column 59, lines 31-34)." By employing scientific reasoning, an ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to combine and substitute the method, wherein the capture reagent is a dendrimer of Kayyem et al in the method of Hellyer et al in view of Weisburg et al. in order to improve the process for determining the presence of at least one specific nucleotide sequence in a target nucleic acid and also in order to achieve the express advantages, as noted by Kayyem et al., of an invention which provides addition of extra linking sequences between the probe nucleic acid and the ETMs that can result in the ETMs being spatially closer to the surface, giving better results.

6. Claims 4, 13-15, 17, and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Hellyer et al. (U.S. Patent 6,207,818 B1) (March 27, 2001) in view of Weisburg et al. (U.S. Patent 6,280,952 B1) (August 28, 2001) further in view of Lipshutz et al. (U.S. Patent 6,280,950 B1) (August 28, 2001).

Hellyer et al in view of Weisburg et al. teach the method of claims 1-3, 6-11, 16, 18, 19, 23, and 24 as described above.

Art Unit: 1634

Hellyer et al in view of Weisburg et al. do not teach the method wherein a blocking oligonucleotide is utilized prior to the hybridization of the capture reagent with the target nucleic acid sequence.

Lipshutz et al teach the method wherein a blocking oligonucleotide is utilized prior to the hybridization of the capture reagent with the target nucleic acid sequence (Column 8, lines 13-36).

Hellyer et al in view of Weisburg et al. do not teach the method wherein the first temperature of hybridization is below the melt temperature of the blocking oligonucleotide and the second temperature of hybridization is above the melt temperature of the blocking oligonucleotide.

Lipshutz et al teach the method wherein the temperature of hybridization can be optimized depending on the length and sequence of the target nucleic acid (Column 7, lines 9-33).

Hellyer et al in view of Weisburg et al. do not teach the method wherein the target nucleic acid is cDNA.

Lipshutz et al teach the method wherein the target nucleic acid is cDNA (Column 9, line 62 to column 10, line 13).

It would have been *prima facie* obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine and substitute the method, wherein a blocking oligonucleotide is utilized prior to the hybridization of the capture reagent with the target nucleic acid sequence and the optimization of hybridization temperature depending on the length and sequence of the target nucleic acid of Lipshutz et al. in the method of Hellyer et al.in view of Weisburg et al.,

Art Unit: 1634

since Lipshutz et al. state, "For example, when it is desired to detect a particular (target) nucleic acid that is expressed at low levels in a nucleic acid sample, selective elimination of other nucleic acids that are present in high level in the sample can improve detection and isolation of the target sequence. In this case, a nucleic acid pool containing nucleic acids complementary to the nucleic acids it is desired to block in the sample can be hybridized to the sample. The nucleic acid pool (blocking reagent) will hybridize to complementary sequences in the sample, form stable hybrid duplexes, and thereby prevent interaction (e.g., nonspecific binding) of the blocked nucleic acids with the capture sequence (Column 8, lines 17-29)." By employing scientific reasoning, an ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to combine and substitute the method, wherein a blocking oligonucleotide is utilized prior to the hybridization of the capture reagent with the target nucleic acid sequence and the optimization of hybridization temperature depending on the length and sequence of the target nucleic acid of Lipshutz et al. in the method of Hellyer et al. in view of Weisburg et al., in order to improve the process for detection of a target nucleic acid and also in order to achieve the express advantages, as noted by Lipshutz et al., of an invention which provides prevention of interaction (e.g., nonspecific binding) of the blocked nucleic acids with the capture sequence and selective elimination of other nucleic acids that are present in high level in the sample thereby improving detection and isolation of the target sequence.

Art Unit: 1634

Response to Amendment

7. In response to amendment, previous 102 and 103 rejections are hereby withdrawn. However, new 103(a) rejections are hereby included.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to all pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CAR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/050,088

Page 11

Art Unit: 1634

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun Chakrabarti, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 306-5818. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM-4:30 PM from Monday to Friday. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached on (703) 308-1119. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7401. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group analyst Chantae Dessau whose telephone number is

(703) 605-1237.

ARUNK CHAKRABARTI PATENT EXAMINER

Patent Examiner,

October 13, 2003

GARY BENZION, PH.D

IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

UPERVISURI OGY CENTER 1800